

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL**

**Writ Petition No. 894 of 2001 (M/B)**

1. Balak Ram S/o Sri Dhoomu Singh @ Dhoomu
2. Jeetmal S/o late Sri Ganeshu
3. Pooran Singh S/o Late Sri Ganeshu
4. Purna Nand S/o Sri Bharav Dutt
5. Dinesh S/o Sri Bharav Dutt
6. Nathi Singh S/o late Sri Daultu
7. Betal Singh S/o late Sri B. Mangal Singh
8. Dalew Singh S/o late Sri Mohan Singh
9. Mohan Singh S/o late Sri Hukum Singh
10. Vijaipal Singh S/o late Sri Asharu
11. Hari Singh S/o late Sri Khem Singh
12. Ratan Singh S/o late Thepar Singh
13. Kundan Singh S/o late Gosai Singh
14. Jagmati Devi D/o of late Sri Ratan Singh
15. Soni Devi D/o late Sri Mor Singh
16. Jai Singh S/o late Narayan Singh
17. Bishan Singh S/o late Sri Ghoomu
18. Div Chandra S/o Sri Roopa
19. Kama Devi W/o late Sri Jagat Singh
20. Soban Singh S/o late Sri Mohan Singh
21. Narayan Singh S/o late Sri Kamal Singh
22. Prem Chand S/o late Sri Roopa
23. Vikram Singh S/o late Sri Gundar Singh
24. Dhanpal Singh S/o late Sri Kalyan Singh
25. Chandan Singh S/o late Sri Nathi Singh
26. Dharam Singh S/o late Sri Pooran Singh @ Punnu  
All residents of Village Mali Deval,  
Patti Juwa, District Tehri Garhwal. .... Petitioners

**Versus**

1. State of Uttaranchal  
Through its Chief Secretary, Dehradun.
2. State of U.P. through its Chief Secretary  
Lucknow.
3. Union of India, through its Chief Secretary  
New Delhi.
4. Director Rehabilitation, Tehri Dam Project,  
Tehri Garhwal.
5. Executive Engineer,  
Investigation and Planning Division I,  
Pasulok, Rishikesh, Dehradun. .... Respondents

.....

Sri Pradeep Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Sri. N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for respondents 1, 4 and 5.  
None for respondents 2 and 5.

**JUDGMENT**

**Coram: Hon’ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.**  
**Hon’ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.**

**RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)**

Sri Pradeep Joshi, Advocate for the petitioners.  
Sri. N.C. Gupta, Standing Counsel for respondents 1, 4 and 5.  
None for respondent 2 and 5.

2. Sri Pradeep Joshi, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the writ petition with the liberty of filing another petition with all the relevant and necessary details.

3. Permission granted.

4. With the above liberty, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**  
20.06.2006

**(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**  
20.06.2006

A